

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/935,717	09/23/1997	MICHAEL CATT	241939	8965
75	590 04/16/2002			
PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP			EXAMINER	
1600 TYSONS			PORTNER, VIRGINIA AL	GINIA ALLEN
MCLEAN, VA 22102		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	10
			DATE MAILED: 04/16/2002	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No.

08/935,717

Applicant(s)

Catt

Office Action Summary Examiner

Portner

Art Unit 1645

	Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
	or Reply		
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		
aft	er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic		
	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely.	s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will	
- If NO		period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this	
- Failur - Any r	e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b	y statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). e mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any	
Status			
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 13, .	2001	
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This ac	tion is non-final.	
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $\textit{Ex pa}$	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposi	tion of Claims		
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1 and 5-10	is/are pending in the application.	
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1 and 5-10	is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Applica	tion Papers		
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e objected to by the Examiner.	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disapproved.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
a) □	All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:		
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	ve been received.	
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	ve been received in Application No	
	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority dapplication from the International Burese the attached detailed Office action for a list of the		
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		
Attachm			
. /	etities of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		19] Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:	

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

Claim 1 has been amended.

Claims 1 and 5-10 are pending.

CONTINUED EXAMINATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.114 AFTER FINAL REJECTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37

CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for

continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been

timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR

1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 13, 2001, has been entered.

Rejections Maintained

2. Claims 1, 5-10 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated or in the

alternative under 35 U.S.C. 103 as obvious over Catt et al WO 95/13531 for reasons of record in

papers 2,8 and 11.

3. Claims 1, 5-10 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jina et

al (US Pat. 5,526,120, filing date September 8, 1994) for reasons of record, papers 2,8 and 11.

Art Unit: 1645

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed November 13, 2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- 5. In response to the Advisory Action dated September 11, 2001, Applicant asserts that the newly submitted claim limitations obviate the applied prior art. The examiner's advisory action is summarized by stating "the Examiner indicated that the applicants' claims differed more in the sense of method than structure."
- 6. It is the position of the examiner that the arguments made of record addressed the structural components of the claimed device, specifically the device of the instant Application and the device of Catt et al (WO95/13531) and Jina et al (US Pat. 5,526,120, filing date September 8, 1994). The examiner rejected claims 1 and 5-10 through application of Catt et al (WO95/13531) reference as anticipating under 35 U.S.C. 102 or in the alternative obvious under 35 U.S.C. 103 and applied Jina et al (US Pat. 5,526,120, filing date September 8, 1994) to claims 1 and 5-10, as being obvious under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

(Catt et al arguments made by the examiner) Based upon structural comparisons, the relationship of the test strip and the reading device is one in which the spacial relationship is interlocking to insure that a predetermined spacial relationship relative to said reading means is maintained.

When the device is received into the reading device the receiving means includes an actuating

Art Unit: 1645

means which is triggered (claim 13) by the receipt of the device and the actuating means causing the reading of the detection zone to be initiated.

The switch actuating means is taught to comprise a fixed projecting portion and a displaceable projecting portion. The casing also comprises a recessed contact portion to accommodate the fixed projecting portion of the switch actuating means.

It is the position of the examiner that Catt et al disclose an apparatus that includes an actuating means that is triggered by the insertion of the assay device, and upon correct insertion, the actuating means causes the reading of the detection zone to be initiated (claim 13, page 34, lines 29-33). The "a portion of the assay device" argued by Applicant is not clearly defined in the claims. What is this portion that distinguishes the claimed invention from the prior art? The portion referred to appears to be the apparatus of the prior art.

The prior art apparatus in figures 4a-4b, 5, 6 and 7 shows various structural features that define a lock and key relationship between the apparatus and the test strip inserted.

The relationship of the test strip and the reading device is one in which the spacial relationship is interlocking to insure that a predetermined spacial relationship relative to said reading means is maintained (see page 23, lines 30-35). When the device is received into the reading device the receiving means includes an actuating means which is triggered (claim 13) by the receipt of the device and the actuating means causing the reading of the detection zone to be initiated.

Art Unit: 1645

The switch actuating means is taught to comprise a fixed projecting portion and a displaceable projecting portion. The casing also comprises a recessed contact portion to accommodate the fixed projecting portion of the switch actuating means.

Thus the reading is enabled only by contact and displacement action of test strip with the reading device. The asserted structural and functional novelty of enabling reading of the test strip after contact and displacement is accomplished by the device of Catt.

Thus the reading is enabled only by contact and displacement action of test strip with the reading device. The asserted structural and functional novelty of enabling reading of the test strip after contact and displacement is accomplished by the device of Catt. Therefore the reference inherently anticipates, or in the alternative obviates the now claimed invention for reasons of the contact and accomplished by the device of Catt. Therefore the reference inherently anticipates, or in the alternative obviates the now claimed invention for reasons of the contact and accomplished by the device of Catt. Therefore the reference inherently anticipates, or in the alternative obviates the now claimed invention for reasons of the contact and accomplished by the device of Catt.

(Jina et al arguments made by the examiner) Jina et al show a test strip with an asymmetrical end which insures the correct insertion for measuring for an analyte in a liquid sample. The test strip when fully inserted closes an electrical circuit, the closing of which is monitored by the apparatus and allows the determination of an analyte (see col. 4, lines 47-67 and col. 5, lines 1-48; abstract and figures).

Thus the reading is enabled only by contact and displacement action of test strip with the reading device. The asserted structural and functional novelty of enabling reading of the test strip after contact and displacement is accomplished by the device of Jina.

Art Unit: 1645

Jina et al show a test strip with an asymmetrical end which insures the correct insertion for measuring for an analyte in a liquid sample. The test strip when fully inserted <u>closes an</u> <u>electrical circuit</u>, the closing of which is monitored by the apparatus and allows the determination of an analyte.

The closed electrical circuit is a type of switch actuating means that is completed only upon correct receipt of the assay device. (see col. 4, lines 47-67 and col. 5, lines 1-48; abstract and figures 7 and 8). Thus the reading is enabled only by contact and interaction of the test strip with the reading device. The test strip is displaceable, but when in a lock and key relationship, the circuit is completed, switch actuation achieved and assay results read. The assay the circuit is completed, switch actuation achieved and assay results read. The assay the circuit and functional novelty of enabling reading of the test strip after contact and displacement is accomplished by the device of Jina.

With respect to formulation of the apparatus and test strip into kit form, the apparatus and test strip are taught to be used for medical measurement of blood analytes (see col. 1, lines 32-33 and col. 5, lines 34-35). Kits are known to provide means for commercialization, standardization and distribution of a product to the end user. The rejection of claims 1, 5-10 remain rejected for reasons of record in paper number 11, paragraphs 7-8, paper number 8 and paper number 2.

7. It was further asserted that "applicant's specific structural arrangement to accomplish the, and indicated results, i.e. to avoid a premature or incorrect reading of the results by triggering the

Art Unit: 1645

reading means before the assay device is correctly positioned in the receiving means" is not disclosed or suggested in the applied references.

8. It is the position of the examiner, that structurally the devices of the prior art are so arranged to insure the correct reading of test results based upon the lock and key structural shapes of the assay device and the reading device (see figures of both references). The "indicated results" would be accomplished by the device of Catt et al, as well as the device of Jina because the specific lock and key structural arrangement of both devices provide for obtaining readings and results that are correct based upon the correct positioning of the assay device in the reading device, thus avoiding incorrect readings. What the structural components are of the instant device that define over the applied prior art are not claimed. The prior art references are maintained.

Conclusion

Addition to the second of the

 $\label{eq:continuous_problem} \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{160} \log \left(\frac{1}{100} \log \left(\frac{1}{100} \log \left(\frac{1}{100} \right) \right) \right) + \frac{1}{100} \log \left(\frac{1}{100} \log \left(\frac{1}{100} \right) \right)$

with the transfer of the second of the second

The state of the s

9. This is a non-final action.

10.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ginny Portner whose telephone number is (703)308-7543. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM except for the first Friday of each two week period.

Art Unit: 1645

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith, can be reached on (703) 308-3909. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 308-4242.

The Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO will be Group Art Unit 1645. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to this

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Vgp

April 8, 2002

The control of the state of the control of the cont

The second second second second second

the second of the second proposited the examinate supervisor.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

A company to the contract of the

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600